

Contents

<i>Overview of the seven volumes</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>About the Authors</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Also by Anatoly T. Fomenko</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>From the Publishers</i>	<i>xviii</i>
<i>Foreword by A. T. Fomenko</i>	<i>3</i>

Part 1: THE DATING OF THE ALMAGEST

by A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovski

Introduction

1. A brief description of the Almagest	7
2. A brief history of the Almagest	12
3. The principal star catalogues of the Middle Ages	13
4. The reason why the dating of the old star catalogues is an important issue	14
5. Hipparchus	15
6. Ptolemy	15
7. Copernicus	16
8. Tycho Brahe	23
9. Important research of the Almagest by the astronomer Robert Newton and his book entitled “The Crime of Claudius Ptolemy”	28

Chapter 1

Some necessary information related to astronomy and history of astronomy

1. The ecliptic. The equator. Precession	32
2. Equatorial and ecliptic coordinates	34
3. The Methods of measuring equatorial and ecliptic coordinates	35
4. The modern celestial sphere	37
5. “Reverse calculation” of objects’ positions on the celestial sphere. The formulae of Newcomb-Kinoshita	39
5.1. Necessary formulae	39
5.2. The algorithm for calculating past positions of stars	40
6. Astrometry. Ancient astronomical measurement instruments of the XV-XVII century	42
7. Timekeeping and timekeeping devices in mediaeval astronomical observations	49

Chapter 2	A preliminary analysis of the Almagest star catalogue	
	1. The catalogue structure	54
	2. The analysis of the distribution of reliably and poorly identifiable stars in the Almagest	56
	3. Seven regions of the Almagest star atlas significantly differ from each other by the number of reliably identifiable stars	68
	4. Possible distortion of the star coordinates resulting from the atmospheric refraction	74
	5. The analysis of the informata distribution across the Almagest catalogue	75
	6. The analysis of the coordinate versions as specified in different manuscripts of the Almagest catalogue. Comparison of the 26 primary manuscripts to the canonical version of the catalogue	77
	7. Version density as the density of independent star observations. Seven areas of the Almagest star atlas revisited with a new concurrence with the previous results	80
	8. In re the reliability of latitudinal and longitudinal measurements contained in the Almagest	84
	8.1. According to Robert Newton, the longitudes in the Almagest were re-calculated by somebody; however, this suspicion does not arise insofar as their latitudes are concerned	84
	8.2. Examples proving that the dating of the star catalogue by longitudinal precession often leads to great errors. Mediaeval catalogues are subject to becoming erroneously dated to an antediluvian epoch	86
	9. The dubious nature of the traditional opinion that Ptolemy's text implies actual "observations" on his part, as well as his "personal participation" in the stellar measurements and observations described in the Almagest	89
	10. What ecliptic point did Ptolemy use for longitudinal reference?	91
	11. Peters' sinusoid in Almagest latitudes	94
Chapter 3	Unsuccessful attempts of dating the Almagest. Reasons for failure. Our new approach and a brief account of our results	
	1. The attempt to date the Almagest by a comparison to the calculated catalogues reflecting the motion of the fastest stars	95
	1.1. The comparison of the Almagest catalogue to the calculated catalogues	95
	1.2. The attempt of dating the Almagest catalogue by proper movements of individual stars	96
	1.3. Why the dating of the Almagest by individual star movements gives us no reliable result	99
	2. An attempt of dating the Almagest catalogue by the aggregate of fast and named stars as compared to the calculated catalogues	101
	2.1. The criteria one is to adhere to in one's choice of the stars for the purpose of dating	101
	2.2. The "proximity interval" system as applied to certain fast or named stars	102
	2.3. Dating the Almagest with the suggested method utilizing arc distances of individual stars is an impossibility	104
	2.4. Dating the Almagest catalogue with the suggested method based on latitudinal discrepancies of individual stars also proves impossible	104

3. The attempt to date the Almagest catalogue by the motion of individual stars as compared to the objects in their immediate vicinity	106
3.1. The varying geometry of stellar configurations as seen against the background of “immobile stars”	106
3.2. The stars chosen for the experiment	106
3.3. The behaviour of the individual discrepancies and the average discrepancy	106
3.4. Negative experiment result	107
4. The analysis of several erroneous works on the subject of dating the Almagest by proper star motions	107
4.1. A lot of the errors are not produced by astronomical phenomena and stem from the incorrect application of the methods offered by mathematical statistics	107
4.2. The data in Y. N. Yefremov’s works on the dating of the Almagest were tailored to fit the desired result	109
4.3. A vicious circle in the dating of the Almagest by the movement of the star σ^2 Eri	109
4.4. Y. N. Yefremov’s errors in the precision estimation of dating the Almagest by Arcturus	110
4.5. Erroneous precision estimation of astronomical calculations: another example	113
4.6. The “secondary analysis” of the Almagest dating in the “Samoobrazovaniye” (“Autodidactics”) magazine	114
5. Conclusions and directions for further research. Our approach and a brief synopsis of our main results	115
5.1. The three problems one is confronted with: identifying the Almagest stars, defining the nature of possible errors, and analysing the precision of the catalogue	115
5.2. The identification of the Almagest stars	116
5.3. Various types of errors in the catalogue	116
5.4. The discovery of the systematic error in the Almagest catalogue. Its compensation confirms the correctness of the declared catalogue precision	118
5.5. The compensation of the systematic error discovered in the catalogue gives us an opportunity of dating the latter	120
5.6. The dating of the Almagest catalogue by the motion of its eight primary basis stars after the rectification of the statistically discovered catalogue error	120
5.7. The dating of the Almagest catalogue by the motions of its eight named basis stars by an independent geometrical method	121

Chapter 4 Who is who?

1. Preliminary observations	123
2. Formal search of the fastest stars in the Almagest catalogue	124
2.1. The star identification method	124
2.2. The result of identifying the “modern” stars as their counterparts from the Almagest catalogue	127
2.3. Corollaries	129
3. The search of all the fast stars reliably identifiable in the Almagest catalogue	130

Chapter 5	The analysis of the star catalogues' systematic errors	
0.	Basic conception	133
0.1.	A demonstrative analogy	133
0.2.	The implementation of the method	134
0.3.	The value of the systematic error cannot be used for the dating of the catalogue	135
1.	Main definition	136
2.	The parameterisation of group errors and systematic errors	136
3.	Calculating parameters $\gamma(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ with the method of minimal squares	139
4.	variation of the parameters $\gamma_{stat}(t)$ and $\varphi_{stat}(t)$ over the course of time	141
5.	The statistical properties of the estimates of γ_{stat} and φ_{stat}	144
6.	Corollaries	148
Chapter 6	Statistical and precision-related properties of the Almagest catalogue	
1.	Introductory remarks	149
2.	Seven regions of the celestial sphere	149
2.1.	A characteristic of the seven areas that we have discovered in the Almagest atlas	149
2.2.	The disposition of the ecliptic poles for each of the seven regions of the Almagest star atlas	150
2.3.	The calculation of confidence intervals	152
3.	Our analysis of individual Almagest constellations	156
3.1.	The compiler of the Almagest may have made a different error in case of every minor constellation group	156
3.2.	The calculation of systematic errors for individual groups of constellations in the Almagest	156
3.3.	Group errors for individual constellations from the well measured celestial region of the Almagest are virtually identical to the systematic error discovered as a characteristic of this area in general	159
3.4.	How the compensation of the systematic error that we have discovered affects the precision characteristics of the environs of named stars	160
3.5.	The discovery of a single systematic error made by the compiler of the Almagest for the region of <i>ZodA</i> and the majority of named stars	161
4.	Corollaries	162
Chapter 7	The dating of the Almagest star catalogue. Statistical and geometrical methods	
1.	The catalogue's informative kernel consists of the well-measured named stars	164
2.	Preliminary considerations in re the dating of the Almagest catalogue by the variations in the coordinates of named stars	165
3.	The statistical dating procedure	171
3.1.	The description of the dating procedure	171
3.2.	The dependency of the minimax discrepancy Δ on t , γ and φ for the Almagest	172
3.3.	Results of dating the Almagest catalogue statistically	172
3.4.	The discussion of the result	174
4.	Dating the Almagest catalogue by the expanded informative kernel	176
5.	Dating the Almagest catalogue by a variety of 8-star configurations consisting of bright stars	182
6.	The statistical procedure of dating the Almagest catalogue: stability analysis	183

6.1. The necessity of using variable algorithm values	183
6.2. Trust level variation	183
6.3. Reducing the contingent of the Almagest catalogue informative kernel	184
6.4. The exclusion of Arcturus does not affect the dating of the Almagest catalogue substantially	185
7. The geometrical dating of the Almagest	186
8. The stability of the geometrical dating method applied to the Almagest catalogue. The influence of various astronomical instrument errors on the dating result	188
8.1. Poorly-manufactured astronomical instruments may have impaired the measurement precision	188
8.2. Formulating the problem mathematically	189
8.3. The deformation of a sphere into an ellipsoid	190
8.4. Measurement discrepancies in the “ellipsoidal coordinate system”	191
8.5. Estimating the distortion of angles measured by the “marginally ellipsoidal instrument”	191
8.6. Possible distortion estimation and the stability of the resultant dating	192
8.7. Numerical value table for possible “ellipsoidal distortions”	194
8.8. Conclusions	194
9. Longitudinal behaviour of the named Almagest stars	194
10. The behaviour of arc discrepancies in the configuration comprised of the Almagest informative kernel	196
11. Conclusions	198

Chapter 8 Tilt angle between the ecliptic and the equator in the Almagest

1. Ptolemy’s concept of the ecliptic tilt angle value and systematic error γ	199
2. The Peters Zodiac and the sine curve of Peters	201

Chapter 9 The application of our method to the dating of other mediaeval catalogues

1. Introduction	209
2. Tycho Brahe’s catalogue	209
2.1. A general characteristic of Tycho Brahe’s catalogue and the result of our dating	209
2.2. The analysis of Tycho Brahe’s latitudinal errors and the removal of the “rejects”	210
2.3. The choice of the informative kernel for Tycho Brahe’s catalogue	213
2.4. The dating of Tycho Brahe’s observations	213
2.5. Conclusions	214
3. Ulugbek’s catalogue	217
3.1. A general characteristic of Ulugbek’s catalogue and its dating result	217
3.2. Systematic errors in Ulugbek’s catalogue	218
3.3. The choice of the informative kernel and the Δ threshold. The dating of Ulugbek’s catalogue	218
3.4. Conclusions	219
4. The catalogue of Hevelius	220
4.1. The dependency between the catalogues of Tycho Brahe and Hevelius	220
4.2. Conclusions	221
5. The catalogue of Al-Sufi	221

Chapter 10 Additional considerations concerning the dating of the *Almagest*. Stellar coverings and lunar eclipses

by A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy

1. Introduction	224
2. Dating the planetary coverings of the stars. Calculations that involve average elements	225
3. The dating of the planetary star coverings described in the <i>Almagest</i> . A more precise calculation	230
3.1. The adjusted algorithm	230
3.2. The discussion of the mediaeval X-XI century solution	231
3.2.1. The η of Virgo covered by Venus in 960 A.D.	233
3.2.2. Mars covering the β of Scorpio in 959 A.D.	235
3.2.3. Jupiter covering the δ of Cancer in 994 A.D.	236
3.2.4. Saturn approaching the γ of Virgo in 1009 A.D.	237
3.2.5. The chronology of the <i>Almagest</i> according to the X-XI century solution	238
3.3. Discussing the late mediaeval solution of the XV-XVI century	243
3.3.1. The η of Virgo covered by Venus in 1496 A.D.	243
3.3.2. Mars covering the β of Scorpio in 1497 A.D.	244
3.3.3. Jupiter covering the δ of Cancer in 1528 A.D.	245
3.3.4. Saturn approaching the γ of Virgo in 1539 A.D.	245
3.3.5. Commentary to the late mediaeval solution	245
4. The era of Nabonassar in accordance with the late mediaeval solution	246
5. The dating of the <i>Almagest</i> 's creation and how this book assumed its present form. Ptolemy and Copernicus	248
6. The "ancient" Hipparchus as the apparent phantom reflection of Tycho Brahe, the famous astronomer	251
7. Ptolemy's <i>Almagest</i> is most likely to have undergone its final edition already after the death of Tycho Brahe, or the "ancient" Hipparchus	254
8. According to Robert Newton, most of the lunar eclipses referred to in the <i>Almagest</i> happen to be relatively recent forgeries	257

Chapter 11 Other problems and hypotheses arising from the dating of the *Almagest* catalogue

by A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy

1. Certain auxiliary oddities of the <i>Almagest</i>	259
1.1. What coordinates was the <i>Almagest</i> catalogue compiled in initially?	259
1.2. The North Star as the first star of the <i>Almagest</i> catalogue	260
1.3. Oddities inherent in the Latin (allegedly 1537) and Greek (allegedly 1538) editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	262
1.4. The star charts of the <i>Almagest</i>	266
2. The <i>Almagest</i> and Halley's discovery of proper star motions	273
3. The identity of the "ancient" Emperor Pius, in whose reign many of Ptolemy's astronomical observations were performed. His geographical and chronological localisation	275
4. Scaligerian datings of the manuscripts and the printed editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	276
4.1. Greek manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	278
4.2. Latin manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	279
4.3. Arabic manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	279

4.4. The first printed editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	280
4.5. Questions concerning the Scaligerian datings of the <i>Almagest</i> manuscripts	281
5. So what is the <i>Almagest</i> , anyway?	283
6. Oddities in the development of the astronomical science as portrayed in the “Scaligerian textbook”	283
6.1. The efflorescence of the so-called “ancient astronomy”	283
6.2. The beginning of the mysterious “decline of the ancient astronomy” in Scaligerian history	287
6.3. The alleged millenarian “return to infancy” and the primitive character of mediaeval astronomy	287
6.4. The astronomical boom of the Renaissance: original, not repetition	289
6.4.1. The astronomical “renaissance” of the Arabs	289
6.4.2. The astronomical “renaissance” in Europe	291
6.4.3. The boom of European astronomy in the XV-XVI century	293
6.5. Bottom-line chronological diagram which demonstrates oddities inherent in the development of the astronomical science in the consensual chronological paradigm of Scaliger and Petavius	293
6.6. Corollaries	302
7. Copernicus, Tycho Brahe and Kepler. The relation between Johannes Kepler and the final version of the Copernican oeuvre	302
7.1. What we know about Copernicus and his astronomical endeavours. Was the heliocentric cosmological system indeed discovered in the first half of the XVI century and not any later?	302
7.2. Oddities in the Scaligerian story of how the book of Copernicus was published	306
7.3. Why it is believed that Tycho Brahe “did not accept the theory of Copernicus”. In reality, the system invented by Tycho Brahe is identical to the Copernican	309
7.4. Is it true that the book of Copernicus, first published in the alleged year 1543, has reached us in its initial shape and form?	313
7.5. Could Johannes Kepler be the editor or even co-author of the “canonical version” of the Copernican oeuvre known to us today?	315
7.6. The heliocentric cosmology and the Biblical “stopped sun”	318
8. Anna Comnena considers Ptolemy her contemporary. In other words, Ptolemy couldn’t have lived earlier than the XII century A.D.	319
9. Obvious dating of the Ptolemaic epoch on Ptolemy’s portrait in the old German “Global Chronicles” by Hartmann Schedel	320
10. The meaning of the word “Pelusiensis” (or “Pheludiensis”) in the full name of Ptolemy	321

Part 2: THE DATING OF THE EGYPTIAN ZODIACS

by A. T. Fomenko, T. N. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy

<i>A foreword to Part 2</i>	327
<i>From the preface to The New Chronology of Egypt. The Astronomical Datings of Ancient Egyptian Monuments. Research of 2000-2002 by A. T. Fomenko and G. V. Nosovskiy (Moscow, Veche, 2002)</i>	329

Chapter 12 The Egyptian zodiacs

1. The Egyptian zodiacs and the likelihood of their reliable astronomical dating	334
2. The astronomical dating of Egyptian zodiacs and related difficulties. The reasons why the Egyptologists eschew the astronomical dating of the zodiacs	350
3. Our new approach to the dating of Egyptian zodiacs	354
4. The funereal character of zodiacs in Egypt	355
5. Representations of the Egyptian zodiacs as used by the authors	357
6. Stylistic changes in the zodiacs from the Napoleonic Egyptian album	359
7. The names we use for the Egyptian zodiacs	364

Chapter 13 Former astronomical datings of the Egyptian zodiacs

1. The Round and the Long Zodiac of Dendera	366
2. The two zodiacs from Esna	372
3. Flinders Petrie's Athribis zodiacs	375
4. The Theban Zodiac of Brugsch	380
5. Astronomical dating in the works of the Egyptologists	388

Chapter 14 A new approach to the decipherment of the Egyptian zodiacs

1. The shortcomings of the earlier decipherments of the Egyptian zodiacs	390
2. A new approach to the interpretation of Egyptian zodiacs. Primary and secondary horoscopes	399
3. An Egyptian zodiac as a description of the entire calendar year that contains the main horoscope's date	410
4. Unlike previous researchers, who stopped at a single interpretation version they deemed best, we consider every possible decipherment option for the Egyptian zodiacs	410

Chapter 15 The symbolism of the Egyptian zodiacs. A new and more complete interpretation

1. Constellation symbols	412
1.1. Aries	412
1.2. Taurus	413
1.3. Gemini	413
1.4. Cancer	416
1.5. Leo	418
1.6. Virgo	421
1.7. Libra	422
1.8. Scorpio	423
1.9. Sagittarius	423
1.10. Capricorn	425
1.11. Aquarius	426
1.12. Pisces	429
2. The ten-degree symbols and the "resolution" of the Egyptian zodiacs	431
2.1. The ten-degree marks in the Long Zodiac (DL)	431
2.2. The division of the ecliptic into 36 parts and the exactness of planetary representations in Egyptian zodiacs	434
3. Distinguishing between the male and the female figures in the Egyptian zodiacs	435
4. Planetary symbols of the primary horoscope	436

4.1. The planetary rod	436
4.2. Saturn in the primary horoscope	440
4.3. Seth, Anubis and Thoth as the symbols of Saturn and Mercury	444
4.4. Confusion between Saturn and Mercury in astral symbolism	446
4.5. Our hypothesis in re the genesis of the old cult of Saturn	447
4.6. Jupiter in the main horoscope	448
4.7. Mars in the primary horoscope	450
4.8. Venus in the primary horoscope	452
4.9. Mercury in the primary horoscope	457
4.10. The attributes of Mercury in the Egyptian zodiacs	458
4.11. Mercury drawn in two positions simultaneously	459
4.12. Mercury as the symbol of the “two-faced god” Janus (Ivan)	460
4.13. The Sun in the primary horoscope	462
4.14. The astronomical symbolism of the Egyptian “eye” symbol	464
4.15. The Moon in the primary horoscope	466
5. Planetary symbols in secondary horoscopes	468
5.1. The first example: the planets from the secondary horoscope of autumn equinox in zodiac DL	469
5.2. The second example: planets from the secondary horoscope of winter solstice in the DR zodiac	471
5.3. Third example: planets from the secondary horoscope of summer solstice in the AN zodiac	473
6. Boats, snakes and other transposition symbols underneath the figures	474
7. Visibility indicators of the primary horoscope’s planets	476
8. Equinox and solstice symbols	478
8.1. Autumn equinox symbols in Virgo	478
8.2. Symbols of the winter solstice point in Sagittarius. The “astronomical hieroglyph” of Sagittarius with a minimal horoscope	480
8.3. Symbolism of the spring equinox point in Pisces	482
8.4. Symbols of the summer solstice point in Gemini. The “astronomical hieroglyph” of Gemini with a minimal horoscope	484
9. Auxiliary astronomical symbols in Egyptian zodiacs	486
9.1. The Easter Full Moon	488
9.2. The solar bird in the Long Zodiac of Dendera (DL)	492
9.3. The symbol of dusk and dawn	493
9.4. The decapitation scene next to Aquarius	493
9.5. The stabbed calf (bullfighting scene)	494
9.6. Wolf on a scythe in the zodiacs of Dendera	495
9.7. The conjunction of Mars and Saturn in the Long Zodiac of Dendera	495
10. Legitimate and illegitimate zodiac decipherments	495
11. Observation point: Cairo or Luxor (Ibrim)?	498
12. The beginning of a year in Egyptian zodiacs	499

Chapter 16 Astronomical estimation of the dates ciphered in the Egyptian zodiacs: a methodology

1. Seven planets of the antiquity. Zodiacs and horoscopes	501
2. The possible presence of calculated horoscopes in Egyptian zodiacs	503
3. The motion of planets along the Zodiac	504

4. Dividing the zodiacal belt into constellations	505
5. “Astral calendar”. How often do individual horoscopes recur?	508
6. The calculation of past planetary positions. The Horos software. Modern planetary theory precision suffices for the dating of the Egyptian zodiacs	510
7. The dating of an Egyptian zodiac with the aid of its primary and secondary horoscopes regarded as a whole	511
7.1. First step. Defining the primary horoscope’s planets. All possible options are considered	511
7.2. Second step. Calculating the dates for every interpretation option of the primary horoscope	511
7.3. Third step. Solutions are tested to comply with such criteria as planetary disposition, visibility indicators and secondary horoscopes. Rejection of incomplete solutions	512
8. The “coloured” Egyptian zodiac	514
9. Unambiguous reconstruction of the dates transcribed in the Egyptian zodiacs. Final (exhaustive) solutions	515
10. The “constellation scale” of a zodiac	515
11. Points of approximate planetary disposition in an Egyptian zodiac (“best points”) and accounting for planetary order	516
12. Average distance between best points as the approximate quality criterion of an astronomical solution	517
13. An example of the input data format used by the Horos program	518
14. Verification table for the astronomical solution	518

Chapter 17 Dates ciphered in the monumental temple zodiacs of Dendera and Esna

1. The zodiacs from Dendera and Esna as part of the grandiose royal necropolis in the “Royal Bight” of the Nile	520
2. The Zodiacs of Dendera: history of discovery and research	524
3. Deciphering the date of the Long Zodiac of Dendera (DL)	525
3.1. The Long Zodiac of Dendera and the various representations thereof	525
3.2. The Long Zodiac of Dendera in colour	526
3.3. Constellation figures in the DL zodiac	526
3.4. Planetary figures of the primary horoscope from the DL zodiac	526
3.5. Secondary horoscopes in the DL zodiac	531
3.5.1. Autumn equinox horoscope in the DL zodiac	531
3.5.2. Winter solstice horoscope in the DL zodiac	533
3.5.3. Horoscope of spring equinox in the DL zodiac	534
3.5.4. The summer solstice horoscope in the DL zodiac	534
3.6. Validation and rejection of preliminary solutions	536
3.7. The exhaustive solution of the Long Zodiac: 22-26 April 1168 A.D.	537
3.8. Verification table for the exhaustive solution of the Long Zodiac	538
4. The decipherment of the date from the Round Zodiac of Dendera (DR)	545
4.1. Copies of the Round Zodiac from Dendera	546
4.2. The coloured version of the Round Zodiac	547
4.3. Constellation figures in the DR zodiac	547
4.4. Planetary symbols from the primary horoscope of the DR zodiac	547
4.5. Secondary horoscopes in the DR zodiac	550

4.5.1. Autumn equinox horoscope in the DR zodiac	550
4.5.2. Winter solstice horoscope in the DR zodiac	553
4.5.3. Spring equinox horoscope in the DR zodiac	553
4.5.4. Summer solstice horoscope in the DR zodiac	553
4.6. The exhaustive solution for the Round Zodiac: morning of 20 March 1185 A.D.	554
4.7. Verification table for the exhaustive solution of the Round Zodiac	557
5. The decipherment of the dating contained in the zodiac from the Greater Temple of Esna (EB)	562
5.1. Copies of the zodiac from the Greater Temple of Esna	562
5.2. Coloured zodiac from the Greater Temple of Esna. Symbols of constellations and planets in the primary horoscope	563
5.3. The primary horoscope and the “doubles” of planets in the EB zodiac	566
5.4. Visibility indicators in the EB zodiac	567
5.5. Secondary horoscopes in the EB zodiac	567
5.6. The exhaustive solution of the EB zodiac: 31 March – 3 April 1394 A.D.	569
5.7. The verification table for the complete solution of the EB zodiac	572
6. The decipherment of the date from the zodiac found in the Lesser Temple of Esna (EM)	577
6.1. Drawn copies of the zodiac from the Lesser Temple of Esna	578
6.2. The coloured version of the zodiac	578
6.3. “Constellation parentheses” in the primary horoscope’s planetary row in the EM zodiac	581
6.4. Planetary figures of the primary horoscope in the EM zodiac	583
6.5. Secondary horoscopes and auxiliary scenes in the EM zodiac	584
6.5.1. Autumn equinox horoscope in the EM zodiac	584
6.5.2. Winter solstice horoscope in the EM zodiac	585
6.5.3. The horoscope of vernal equinox and the additional scene between Aquarius and Capricorn in the EM zodiac	586
6.5.4. Summer solstice horoscope in the EM zodiac	587
6.6. The exhaustive solution of the EM zodiac: 6-8 May 1404 A.D.	588
6.7. The verification table for the exhaustive solution of the EM zodiac	589
7. The correlation between the solution dates and the New Chronology as well as our reconstruction of history	594

Chapter 18 Dates in zodiacs discovered inside Egyptian sepulchres

1. The Athribis zodiacs of Flinders Petrie (AV + AN)	601
1.1. The decipherment of the primary horoscope. Six options of planetary identification	601
1.2. Secondary horoscopes and additional scenes in the zodiacs from Athribis	606
1.3. Results of calculations including six options with rigid planetary order	607
1.4. Calculation results for six versions with random order of invisible planets	609
1.5. The exhaustive solution of the zodiacs from Athribis: 15-16 May 1230 for the Lower, and 9-10 February 1268 for the Upper Zodiac	613
1.6. A comparison of planetary positions in the solutions with those specified in the zodiacs	614
1.7. Checking correspondence to the secondary horoscope of summer solstice	615

1.8. Verification by the “scene of meeting in Leo”	616
1.9. The archaic June year as used in the zodiacs of Athribis	618
1.10. Final identification of the planetary birds	619
1.11. Verification of the solution’s stability	619
1.12. Corollaries	619
2. The Theban Zodiac of Brugsch (BR)	620
2.1. The demotic subscript horoscope in Brugsch’s zodiac	621
2.2. The horoscope “without rods” from Brugsch’s zodiac	621
2.3. The horoscope “with boats” in Brugsch’s zodiac	622
2.4. Corollaries	625
2.5. The version with the baboon representing the moon in the “horoscope without rods”	625
3. The “colour zodiac from Thebes” (OU)	628
3.1. Constellation figures	628
3.2. Planetary figures	629
3.3. The primary horoscope and the extra conditions	631
3.4. Preliminary solutions of the primary horoscope	631
3.5. Verification by compliance to additional criteria	633
3.6. Corollary: the date transcribed in the OU zodiac is 5-8 September 1182 A.D.	634

Chapter 19 Dating results for Egyptian zodiacs

1. The general situation with the datings of the Egyptian zodiacs	638
2. The stability of the datings that we came up with	640
3. Unresolved issues in the decipherment of Egyptian zodiacs	640
4. Astronomical dating of Sumerian tablets	641
5. A list of 28 ancient zodiacs, discovered and dated by the authors recently	643

Annexes

1. Tables of fast and named stars of the Almagest that can be identified reliably	647
2. The computer program of the geometrical method of dating of star configurations by their proper movement taking into account the systematic errors of the catalogue	695
3. The description of the Horos program as used for the purposes of dating the Egyptian zodiacs	706
4. Input data for the Horos program that yielded finite interpretation options	709
5. Julian day numbers and the days of solstices and equinoxes as taken for the beginnings of centuries in the past	723
6. A list of solutions for the zodiacs from Athribis under less strict conditions	724
7. A more precise drawn copy of the Greater Zodiac of Esna performed by the authors of the present book in Egypt and based on the original	729
8. Our replies to the authors of certain erroneous works, who tried to refute our astronomical datings	737
9. WIKIPEDIA Damage Control on New Chronology (Fomenko) page	751

The complete bibliography to the seven volumes	755
---	-----